


**UPC Local Division Munich, 5 February 2025,
Edwards v Meril**



PATENT LAW – PROCEDURAL LAW

Parties are summoned to oral hearing on 11 Feb 2025

Source: [Unified Patent Court](#)

**UPC Local Division Munich,
5 February 2025
(Zigann)**

UPC_CFI_501/2023

PROCEDURAL ORDER

**of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent
Court Local Division Munich issued on 5 February
2025**

CLAIMANT

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation

1 Edwards Way - 92614 - Irvine – US

represented by: Boris Kreye, Elsa Tzschoppe, Ioana
Hategan (Bird & Bird)

supported by: Bryce Matthewson (Powell Gilbert)
Bernhard Thum, Jonas Weickert (Thum & Partner)

DEFENDANTS

1) Meril GmbH

Bornheimer Straße 135-137 - 53119 - Bonn – DE

2) Meril Life Sciences Pvt Ltd.

M1-M2, Meril Park, Survey No 135/2/B & 174/2
Muktanand Marg, Chala, Vapi - 396 191 Gujarat - Vapi
- IN

3) Meril Italy S.r.l.

Piazza Tre Torri 2 - 20145 - Mailand - IT

represented by: Andreas von Falck, Lukas
Wollenschlaeger, Felipe Zilly (Hogan Lovells)

PATENT AT ISSUE

[European patent n° 3669828](#)

PANEL/DIVISION

Panel 1 of the Local Division Munich

DECIDING JUDGE/S

This decision has been delivered by the presiding judge
Dr. Matthias Zigann acting as judgerapporteur.

LANGUAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

English

SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Infringement Action with counterclaim for revocation
scheduling

ORDER

The parties are summoned to the oral hearing on 11
February 2025, 9.00 a.m., Denisstr. 3 in Munich, room
212 and overflow room 220b.

INFORMATION ABOUT REVIEW BY PANEL

Any party may request that this Order be referred to the
panel for a review pursuant to [R. 333 RoP](#). Pending
review, the Order shall be effective ([R. 102.2 RoP](#))

**INFORMATION ABOUT ORAL HEARING HELD
IN COURT**

The oral hearing shall be open to the public unless the
Court decides to make it, to the extent necessary,
confidential in the interests of one or both parties or third
parties or in the general interest of justice or public order
([R. 115 RoP](#)).

INFORMATION ABOUT AUDIO RECORDING

The oral hearing shall be audio recorded. The recording
shall be made available at the premises of the Court to
the parties or their representatives after the oral hearing
([R. 115 RoP](#)).

**INFORMATION ABOUT ABSENCE OR DELAY
OF A REPRESENTATIVE**

A decision by default may be given, upon request,
against a party that was duly summoned but fails to
appear at the oral hearing ([R. 355.1 \(b\) RoP](#)).

**INFORMATION ABOUT DECISION BY
DEFAULT**

Should a party fail to comply with the present Order
within the time period specified, a decision by default
may be given in accordance with [R. 355 RoP](#) ([R. 103.1,](#)
[last subparagraph and .2 RoP](#)). UPC_CFI_501/2023

DETAILS OF THE ORDER

Order no. ORD_598573/2023 in

ACTION NUMBER: ACT_597277/2023

UPC number: UPC_CFI_501/2023

Action type: Infringement Action

Dr. Zigann

Presiding Judge
